Another silver complex of 1,3-dibenzylimidazol-2-ylidene: Solution and solid-state structures

Joris Berding [a], Huub Kooijman [b], Anthony L. Spek [b], Elisabeth Bouwman [a,*]

[a] Coordination and Bio-Inorganic Chemistry, Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands
[b] Bijvoet Centre for Biomolecular Research, Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

A B S T R A C T

The reaction of 1,3-dibenzylimidazolium bromide (Bn$_2$Im · HBr) with silver(I) oxide yields the dinuclear compound {(Bn$_2$Im)AgBr}$_2$ as determined with X-ray crystallography. The structure turned out to differ significantly from the mononuclear complex (Bn$_2$Im)$_2$AgBr that was reported during our studies. It appears that the various structures are a result of different crystallization conditions. A new classification of solid-state structures of these and other silver(I) complexes containing monodentate N-heterocyclic carbenes is presented.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In recent years the study and application of N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) has increased rapidly, most notably as spectator ligands in various homogeneous catalysts [1]. As these ligands are strong σ-donors, they form stable transition-metal NHC complexes with strong metal–carbon bonds. Many synthetic methods leading to these useful carbene complexes have been investigated. One of these methods is the generation of a silver(I)–NHC complex, followed by transfer of the carbene to another transition metal [2]. Such a reaction has successfully been applied to a variety of metals, including rhodium, iridium, gold, palladium, and nickel [2], and may lead to NHC complexes that cannot be obtained via other synthetic routes, including the addition of the free carbene to a metal salt. Furthermore, Ag–NHC complexes have been used as catalysts [3,4], and as antimicrobial agent [5].

Three common approaches towards the synthesis of Ag–NHC complexes are: (1) the reaction of a free NHC with silver salts, (2) the reaction of azolium salts with silver salts under basic phase-transfer conditions and (3) the reaction of azolium salts with silver bases. The latter method, in which Ag$_2$O is used as a base (Eq. (1)) is now by far the most commonly employed [2]. Soon after the first report in 1998 [6], the Ag$_2$O route was recognized for its attractive features, such as its stability towards air and the tolerance towards other reactive hydrogen atoms.

$$2NHC·HX + Ag_2O \rightarrow 2(NHC)AgX + H_2O \qquad (1)$$

In the past few years we have been interested in the synthesis of nickel(II)–NHC complexes, which we prepare with the aid of silver(I)–NHC intermediates. During our studies the unusual structure of [(NHC)$_2$AgBr], in which NHC is 1,3-dibenzylimidazol-2-ylidene, was reported [7], whereas with the same NHC and the same synthetic procedure we had obtained the compound {(NHC)AgBr}$_2$. In this paper, we report the synthesis and crystal structure of this compound, and we attempt to rationalize the formation of the different complexes.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis of silver complex

The ligand precursor 1,3-dibenzylimidazolium bromide (Bn$_2$-Im · HBr, 1) was obtained by the alkylation of N-benzylimidazole with benzyl bromide in hot 1,4-dioxane. In accordance with the general reaction for the generation of silver–NHC complexes (Eq. (1)) and the literature procedure [7], 1 was reacted with 0.5 equiv. of silver(I) oxide in dichloromethane. This reaction yielded an off-white solid product, which was assumed to be compound 2 (Scheme 1) [7]. The ^{1}H NMR spectrum of this solid product in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ lacked the downfield NCHN signal, indicating successful carbene formation, while the ^{13}C NMR spectrum showed the Ag–C resonance at 182 ppm. No ^{13}C–$^{107/109}$Ag coupling was observed, consistent with the fluxional behavior known for other Ag(I)–NHC complexes [6]. The elemental analysis, however, revealed a

Scheme 1. Reaction of imidazolium halide with Ag$_2$O, yielding **2** or **3**(**4**).

clear 1:1:1 ligand to silver to bromide ratio, in disagreement with the reported structure **2**. To elucidate the identity of this new compound **3**, the solid-state structure was determined.

2.2. X-ray crystal structure determination of silver complex **3**

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a chloroform solution of the silver compound at room temperature. The complex has a dinuclear solid-state structure (**3**), as depicted in Fig. 1. In the structure of **3**, two (Bn$_2$Im)AgBr moieties are present around an inversion center to give a dinuclear species with side-on Ag$\cdots$Br interaction. The Ag$_2$Br$_2$ 4-membered ring is planar with an Ag–Ag distance of 4.0296(6) Å. The two bridging bromide ions are asymmetrically bound with Ag–Br distances of 2.4913(5) and 3.0415(5) Å. The Ag1–C2 distance is comparable to those in other silver–carbene complexes [8]. The silver center is shifted slightly out of the trigonal [CBr$_2$] coordination plane, while the plane through the imidaz-

ole ring is rotated with respect to the Ag$_2$Br$_2$-plane by 36.82(12)°. A weak stacking interaction of the aromatic phenyl side groups is observed in the crystal structure with a center-to-center distance of 3.90 Å, with the center of one ring directly above the quaternary carbon of the other ring (see Supporting Information). Several similar {(NHC)AgX}$_2$ structures have been reported in the literature (see Fig. 2).

Apart from the mononuclear compound **2,** in which two NHC ligands are bound to one silver ion (Scheme 1), Newman et al. obtained the dinuclear {(Bn$_2$Im)AgCl}$_2$ (**4**) with a structure similar to **3** [7], with only minor differences in bond lengths and angles; e.g., the carbene–silver distances are 2.090(2) and 2.102(2) Å, respectively. Only the distance between the silver center and the closest halide is significantly different due to the different van der Waals radii of Br$^-$ and Cl$^-$. The two silver–carbene bond lengths in **2** of 2.116(4) and 2.117(4) Å are slightly longer than those in **3**. The silver–bromide distance of 2.89 Å in **2** is intermediate between the two Ag–Br distances in **3** and it was suggested that


Fig. 1. ORTEP projection of **3** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. The molecule is located on a crystallographic inversion center. Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: −x, 1 − y, 1 − z. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ag1–C2 2.102(2); Ag1–Br1 2.4913(5); Ag1–Br1a 3.0415(5); N1–C2 1.355(3); N3–C2 1.471(4); C4–C5 1.349(4); Ag1–Ag1a 4.0296(6); Br1–Ag1–C2 159.30(6); Br1a–Ag1–C2 112.77(6); Br1a–Ag1–Br1a 87.05(2); Ag1–Br1–Ag1a 92.95(2); N1–C2–N3 104.21(18).

2 is better described as ionic complex [(NHC)$_2$Ag]$^+$Br$^-$ [7]. A silver chloride complex containing the ligand 1,3-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)imidazole-2-ylidene does indeed yield the ionic complex [L$_2$Ag]$^+$Cl$^-$ in which two silver ions are in close contact (Ag–Ag = 3.65 Å) [9].

2.3. NMR studies

Two distinct solid-state structures of silver halide complexes with the ligand Bn$_2$Im were described in the previous section. It was decided to investigate the structures of these compounds in solution. An attempt was undertaken to monitor the formation of the silver complex in solution. Thus, a 2:1 mixture of **1** and Ag$_2$O was taken into deuterated dichloromethane and the NMR spectrum of the mixture was recorded within 5 min. This spectrum already showed full conversion of the ligand precursor to the silver complex. Repeating this procedure at 243 K allowed for the reaction to be monitored. However, no peaks other than those of the precursor and a single silver complex could be observed in the ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra (see Supporting Information). After full conversion was reached, the ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were identical to spectra of complex **3**. After standing in the dark for several hours, colorless crystals formed in the NMR tube. Elemental analysis of these crystals revealed a 1:1 ligand to silver ratio, consistent with **3**. Some of the crystals were redissolved in CD$_2$Cl$_2$; the NMR spectra confirmed that the crystals are identical to the bulk compound in solution. Repetition of the NMR experiment in deuterated DMSO yielded comparable ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra.

In addition, a silver complex with the non-coordinating anion tetraphenylborate (BPh$_4^-$) was prepared, presuming that the ionic complex [(Bn$_2$Im)$_2$Ag]BPh$_4$ would be formed. This complex was prepared in hot DMSO, as the reaction did not proceed well in dichloromethane. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum (see Supporting Information) showed an unresolved doublet at 180 ppm, with a separation of about 190 Hz, consistent with coupling constants reported for other ionic Ag(I)–NHC complexes [10]. The fact that this ionic species shows Ag–C coupling, while compound **3** does not, is in agreement with compound **3** being a non-ionic species in solution.

The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the chloride complex **4** is reported to show an Ag–C resonance at 151 ppm [7]. In our hands, however, repeating the kinetic NMR study described above for the reaction between Bn$_2$Im·HCl and Ag$_2$O, the Ag–C signal was observed as a singlet at 181 ppm. We therefore conclude that, in our experiments, the solution structures of silver complexes obtained from Bn$_2$Im · HCl and Bn$_2$Im · HBr are very similar, in contrast to what has been reported earlier.

The mass spectra of **3** and the crystals obtained from the NMR experiment described above both show one peak at *m/z* 605, indicating a [(Bn$_2$Im)$_2$Ag]$^+$ moiety. It has been shown, however, that mass spectrometry cannot be used to unambiguously distinguish (ligand)AgX from [Ag(ligand)$_2$]$^+$ [11].

2.4. Structural considerations

In a recent review seven types of solid-state structures obtained from the reaction between Ag$_2$O and monodentate azolium salts are described [2]. For the current discussion, we propose another classification, shown in Fig. 2 [12].

Structure types **A** and **A$_n$** ($n = 2, 3, \ldots$) are characterized by a 1:1 ligand to silver ratio and coordination of both the ligand and the halide to the same metal center. Structure types **B** and **B$_2$** show the same ratio; however, in this case two ligands and two halides are bound separately over two metal centers. Structure types **C**, **C'** and **C$_2'$** have a ligand to silver ratio of 2:1.

The solid-state structure of a silver NHC complex will depend heavily on the nature of the ligand (type of NHC, bulk of ligand,

(NHC)AgX	{(NHC)AgX}$_n$
A	**A$_n$** (n = 2, 3, ...)
(NHC)$_2$Ag-AgX$_2$	(NHC)$_2$Ag-Ag$_2$X$_4$-Ag(NHC)$_2$
B	**B$_2$**
(NHC)$_2$AgX [(NHC)$_2$Ag]$^+$ X$^-$	{(NHC)$_2$Ag}$_2^{2+}$ 2X$^-$
C **C'**	**C'$_2$**

Fig. 2. Classification of various silver NHC species discussed in this paper.

and functional groups), the halide, the solvent and the temperature. For instance, imidazole- and benzimidazole-based carbenes with short alkyl-chain substituents yield complexes of type **B** in the solid state [6,13], while those bearing long alkyl chains often result in complexes of type **A$_2$** [14]. The exact geometry of this dimer **A$_2$** can vary and a number of different structures has been reported, including the Ag$_2$X$_2$ 4-membered ring of **3** and **4** and a dimer formed by Ag–Ag interaction [8,15]. A study to determine the influence of the solvent showed that an increase in solvent polarity shifts the solution equilibrium from **A** to **B** for (IMes)AgCl (IMes = 1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)imidazole-2-ylidene) [8]. Coordination polymers derived from **A** (**A$_n$**, $n > 3$) and dimers derived from **B** (**B$_2$**) have been reported in the solid state, but in solution are most likely in equilibrium with **A** and **B** [3,13,16,17]. The 1,3-bis(2-pyridylmethyl)imidazol-2-ylidene silver complex mentioned above, is an example of a type **C$_2'$** structure [9].

The current classification does not include complexes with non-coordinating anions that will adopt a type **C'** structure [18–20], or silver clusters [9,16,21]. One example is known with a [{(NHC)$_2$Ag}$_3$I]$^{2+}$2I$^-$ structure, in which the NHC has pendant pyridine groups, that may be considered intermediate between structure **C** and **C'** [22]. Chelating NHC ligands are not included explicitly, but are expected to form similar species.

Type **C'** is not likely to be a major product in the solid state with potentially coordinating halides and only few solid-state structures of this type have been reported with bulky ligands [4]. We argue that in the structure reported by Wang et al. (CCDC code: PENJIC) the iodide counter ion may be considered weakly bound to the silver center at 3.36 Å, as this is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of silver and iodine of 3.70 Å [23].

Even though we were unable to find kinetic evidence in our NMR experiments, we assume that structure **A** is the direct outcome of the reaction between the azolium salt and Ag$_2$O in solution. The other structures are most likely generated subsequently, due to the fluxional behavior between the ionic and neutral complexes observed in solution for most of the Ag–NHC compounds [6], and the known equilibrium between AgX$_2^-$ and AgX + X$^-$ [2]. For instance, type **C** may be obtained by separation of AgX from type **B**. The fact that with the ligand Bn$_2$Im both type **A$_2$** (**3** and **4**) and type **C** (**2**) structures have been characterized in the solid state, demonstrates that the ligand and the halide are not the only factors determining the solid-state structure. The only distinction in the reaction conditions of the synthesis of **2** and **3** is the length of the reaction time. Whereas **3** was obtained after 2 h, compound **2** was isolated after 15 h of stirring. This seems to suggest that the dimeric **3** is the kinetic product, while three-coordinate **2** is thermodynamically favored under the conditions used. However, in our hands, repeating the synthesis with 18 h stirring yielded a solid which again analyzed as complex **3**. Therefore, we ascribe the fact that it was possible to isolate **3** in crystalline form, to a subtle difference in crystallization conditions. The observation that different crystallization conditions may lead to different crystal structures of Ag(I)–NHC complexes has been noted before [14]. In addition, very recently another example of a type **C** solid-state structure was reported, obtained from a tetra-ether substituted

imidazolium bromide [24]. In that case, however, the parent silver complex analyzed as a 1:1 complex and AgBr is reported to be formed at the bottom of the crystallization tube upon crystallization by slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution. Unfortunately, no NMR data are given for the crystalline compound.

Several attempts to obtain the 2:1 complex by using different crystallization conditions were undertaken, including the use of different solvents, the absence and presence of light and a low temperature. In all cases, however, crystals that formed analyzed (by elemental analysis) as the 1:1 complex. Moreover, stirring a sample of **3** in THF at 70 °C for 14 h, followed by precipitation with diethyl ether, did not change the composition of the compound. In conclusion, it appears that the isolation of **2** with the type **C** structure should be regarded as serendipitous.

2.5. Concluding remarks

In summary, an Ag(I)–NHC complex was synthesized starting from 1,3-dibenzylimidazolium bromide, following a common procedure. This complex displays a solid-state structure that is significantly different from a structure that was reported during our studies. It appears that the difference originates at the crystallization procedure and we believe that our 1:1 ligand to silver complex is the major product after the first isolation. With this in mind, we recommend the use of elemental analysis, rather than mass spectrometry, for the determination of the composition of the crude product. In addition, NMR experiments indicate that the formation of the silver complex is fast and evidence was found that in solution the complex is present as a neutral species. Furthermore, a novel classification of the solid-state structures of monodentate NHC silver complexes is introduced.

3. Experimental

3.1. General procedures

All chemicals were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. Solvents were reagent grade and used without further purification, except for 1,4-dioxane which was distilled from CaH_2 and stored on molecular sieves under argon. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker DPX300 spectrometer and are referenced against TMS. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer Paragon 1000 FT-IR spectrophotometer. C,H,N determinations were performed on a Perkin–Elmer 2400 Series II analyzer. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan TSQ-quantum instrument using an electrospray ionization technique (ESI-MS), using water/acetonitrile solutions. Imidazolium bromide **1** has been reported before [7,25,26]; however, a full characterization was never reported.

3.2. Preparation of 1,3-dibenzylimidazolium bromide (**1**)

Under argon, a mixture of *N*-benzylimidazole (3.96 g, 25 mmol) and benzyl bromide (5.13 g, 30 mmol) in 30 mL dry 1,4-dioxane was stirred at 100 °C for 4 h. The resulting two-phase system was cooled and the layers were separated. The bottom layer was washed two times with 1,4-dioxane and once with diethyl ether. Drying in vacuo yielded a clear, colorless oil that crystallized very slowly.

Yield: 7.6 g (92%). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6, 300 K): δ = 9.51 (s, 1H, NCHN), 7.85 (d, *J* = 2 Hz, 2H, CH$_{Im}$), 7.42 (m, 10H, CH$_{arom}$), 5.45 (s, 4H, CH$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6, 300 K): δ = 136.2 (NCHN), 134.7 (C$_q$), 129.0 (C-Ar), 128.7 (C-Ar), 128.3 (C-Ar), 52.0 (CH$_2$). IR (neat): ν = 3028 (w), 1557 (m), 1496 (w), 1458 (w), 1350 (w), 1210 (w), 1148 (m), 819 (w), 720 (s), 707 (s), 638 (s),

570 (w), 482 (m) cm^{-1}. MS (ESI): *m/z* 249 ([M−Br]$^+$, 100%). Anal. Calc. for C$_{17}$H$_{17}$BrN$_2$: C, 62.02; H, 5.20; N, 8.51. Found: C, 61.21; H, 5.23; N, 8.44%.

3.3. Preparation of bis(μ-bromido(1,3-dibenzylimidazol-2-ylidene)silver(I)) (**3**)

1,3-Dibenzylimidazolium bromide (0.32 g, 1.0 mmol) and silver(I) oxide (0.14 g, 0.5 mmol) were mixed in dichloromethane (10 mL) and stirred in the absence of light at room temperature for 2 h. The resulting solution was filtered with the aid of a membrane filter and concentrated in vacuo. Addition of diethyl ether gave an off-white precipitate which was isolated by filtration, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuo. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow diffusion of diethyl ether into a chloroform solution at room temperature.

Yield: 0.65 g (75%). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 300 K): δ = 7.36 (m, 6H, CH$_{arom}$), 7.23 (m, 4H, CH$_{arom}$), 6.92 (s, 2H, CH$_{Im}$), 5.30 (s, 4H, NCH$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 300 K): δ = 181.9 (Ag–C), 135.4 (C$_q$) 129.1 (C-Ar), 128.7 (C-Ar), 127.9 (C-Ar), 121.4 (CH$_{Im}$), 55.8 (NCH$_2$). IR (neat): ν = 3127 (w), 1492 (w), 1452 (m), 1356 (w), 1226 (m), 1152 (w), 1027 (w), 752 (s), 700 (s), 662 (m), 582 (m) cm^{-1}. MS (ESI): *m/z* 605 ([L$_2$Ag]$^+$, 100%). Anal. Calc. for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$AgBrN$_2$: C, 46.82; H, 3.70; N, 6.42, Found: C, 46.85; H, 3.70; N, 6.48%.

3.4. X-ray crystallographic structure determination of **3**

C$_{34}$H$_{32}$Ag$_2$Br$_2$N$_4$, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$, a = 8.1258(10) Å, b = 10.0156(10) Å, c = 10.5681(10) Å, α = 89.427(12)°, β = 68.000(16)°, γ = 85.19(2)°, V = 794.43(17) Å^3, Z = 2, MW = 872.18, D_{calc} = 1.8231(4) Mg m^{-3}. X-ray data were collected with a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer on rotating anode (T = 150 K, Mo Kα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å, θ(max) = 27.5°, 19,982 reflections measured). The structure was solved by Patterson methods (DIRDIF) [27] and refined with SHELXL-97 [28]. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and refined riding on their carrier atoms. Convergence was reached at R = 0.0236 for 3474 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, wR_2 = 0.0628 for 3625 reflections, S = 1.045. Illustrations and structure validation were done with PLATON [29]. Crystallographic data may be obtained as CIF files (see Appendix A).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jorganchem.2009.02.030.

References

[1] W.A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 41 (2002) 1291.
[2] I.J.B. Lin, C.S. Vasam, Coord. Chem. Rev. 251 (2007) 642.
[3] J. Ramirez, R. Corberan, M. Sanau, E. Peris, E. Fernandez, Chem. Commun. (2005) 3056.
[4] M.K. Samantaray, V. Katiyar, K.L. Pang, H. Nanavati, P. Ghosh, J. Organomet. Chem. 692 (2007) 1672.
[5] A. Kascatan-Nebioglu, M.J. Panzner, C.A. Tessier, C.L. Cannon, W.J. Youngs, Coord. Chem. Rev. 251 (2007) 884.
[6] H.M.J. Wang, I.J.B. Lin, Organometallics 17 (1998) 972.
[7] C.P. Newman, G.J. Clarkson, J.P. Rourke, J. Organomet. Chem. 692 (2007) 4962.

[8] P. de Fremont, N.M. Scott, E.D. Stevens, T. Ramnial, O.C. Lightbody, C.L.B. Macdonald, J.A.C. Clyburne, C.D. Abernethy, S.P. Nolan, Organometallics 24 (2005) 6301.

[9] V.J. Catalano, M.A. Malwitz, Inorg. Chem. 42 (2003) 5483.

[10] M. Iglesias, D.J. Beetstra, J.C. Knight, L.L. Ooi, A. Stasch, S. Coles, L. Male, M.B. Hursthouse, K.J. Cavell, A. Dervisi, I.A. Fallis, Organometallics 27 (2008) 3279.

[11] A.A.D. Tulloch, A.A. Danopoulos, S. Winston, S. Kleinhenz, G. Eastham, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2000) 4499.

[12] Relation of our structures **A**–**C**′ with the review in Ref. [2]: Type-1 = **B**, Type-2 = **A**, Type-3 = **A**$_2$, Type-4 = **B**$_2$, Type-7 = **C**′. Type-5 and Type 6 do not correspond to any of the types described here. Type **A**$_n$, **C** and **C**$'_2$ were not described as a common type in the review.

[13] K.M. Lee, H.M.J. Wang, I.J.B. Lin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. (2002) 2852.

[14] C.K. Lee, C.S. Vasam, T.W. Huang, H.M.J. Wang, R.Y. Yang, C.S. Lee, I.J.B. Lin, Organometallics 25 (2006) 3768.

[15] L. Ray, M.M. Shaikh, P. Ghosh, Inorg. Chem. 47 (2008) 230.

[16] W.Z. Chen, F.H. Liu, J. Organomet. Chem. 673 (2003) 5.

[17] S. Burling, M.F. Mahon, S.P. Reade, M.K. Whittlesey, Organometallics 25 (2006) 3761.

[18] X.J. Wan, F.B. Xu, Q.S. Li, H.B. Song, Z.Z. Zhang, Organometallics 24 (2005) 6066.

[19] J.W. Wang, H.B. Song, Q.S. Li, F.B. Xu, Z.Z. Zhang, Inorg. Chim. Acta 358 (2005) 3653.

[20] V.J. Catalano, A.L. Moore, Inorg. Chem. 44 (2005) 6558.

[21] R. McKie, J.A. Murphy, S.R. Park, M.D. Spicer, S.Z. Zhou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 46 (2007) 6525.

[22] X. Wang, S. Liu, L.H. Weng, G.X. Jin, Organometallics 25 (2006) 3565.

[23] C.Y. Wang, Y.H. Liu, S.M. Peng, S.T. Liu, J. Organomet. Chem. 691 (2006) 4012.

[24] W.H. Yang, C.S. Lee, S. Pal, Y.N. Chen, W.S. Hwang, I.J.B. Lin, J.C. Wang, J. Organomet. Chem 693 (2008) 3729.

[25] H.A. Staab, G. Schwalbach, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 715 (1968) 128.

[26] T. Kamijo, R. Yamamoto, H. Harada, K. Iizuka, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 31 (1983) 1213.

[27] P.T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W.P. Bosman, S. Garcia-Granda, R.O. Gould, J.M.M. Smits, C. Smykalla, The DIRDIF99 Program System, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1999.

[28] G.M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 64 (2008) 112.

[29] A.L. Spek, J. Appl. Cryst. 36 (2003) 7.